

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

FILED
RICHARD W. NAGEL
CLERK OF COURT
2022 MAY 10 PM 2:34

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO.

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARQUIS DESADE FARMER

Defendant.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIV DAYTON

22 cr 0045

THOMAS M. ROSE

INDICTMENT

7 U.S.C. § 2024(b)

18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2)

FORFEITURE

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

COUNT 1

[7 U.S.C. § 2024(b)]

Between on or about December 5, 2021 and December 8, 2021, while in the Southern District of Ohio, defendant **MARQUIS DESADE FARMER**, did knowingly acquire, possess and use a USDA SNAP electronic benefits transfer (EBT) access device, to wit: an "Oregon Trail" EBT card bearing account number ending in #7592, as defined by 7 U.S.C. § 2012 (a) and (i) having a value of \$100 or more, in a manner not authorized by 7 U.S.C., Chapter 51 and the regulations issued pursuant thereto (7 C.F.R. §§ 271-285) in that he knowingly, fraudulently and unlawfully acquired, possessed and used said SNAP EBT access device, to unlawfully acquire various food items and other commodities.

In violation of 7 U.S.C. § 2024(b).

COUNT 2

[18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2)]

On or about April 12, 2022, in the Southern District of Ohio, defendant **MARQUIS DESADE FARMER**, knowing he had previously been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, knowingly possessed a firearm and ammunition and the firearm was in and affecting commerce.

In violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

Upon conviction of one or more offenses set forth in Counts One and/or Two of this Indictment, defendant **MARQUIS DESADE FARMER** shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), any firearms and ammunition, involved in or used in such violations(s), including but is not limited to a Taurus G28, pistol serial number ABG693773 with any and ammunition.


SUBSTITUTE ASSETS

If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

- cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- has been substantially diminished in value; or
- has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;


it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), or as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant, up to the value of the forfeitable property.

A TRUE BILL



FOREMAN

KENNETH L. PARKER
United States Attorney



DWIGHT K. KELLER (0074533)
Assistant United States Attorney